

Serial No. 10/022,650

Page 8 of 9

REMARKS

This is in response to the final Office Action mailed March 7, 2006. In the Action, the Examiner notes that claims 1-16 are pending of which claims 1-6, 12-14 and 15 are rejected and claims 7-11 and 16 are allowed. By this response, claims 1, 12 and 15 have been amended.

In view of the foregoing amendments and the following discussion, Applicants submit that all of the claims now pending in the application are in allowable form.

It is to be understood that Applicants, by amending the claims, do not acquiesce to the Examiner's characterizations of the art of record or to Applicants' subject matter recited in the pending claims. Further, Applicants are not acquiescing to the Examiner's statements as to the applicability of the art of record to the pending claims by filing the instant responsive amendments.

REJECTIONS

35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1, 12 and 15

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 12 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2. In particular, the Examiner finds that there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "the set top terminal" in lines 13 and 15 of claims 1 and 12 and in line 19 of claim 15. Further, because of their dependence from independent claims 1, 12 and 15, dependent claims 2-6 and 13-14 are also rejected. Applicants have amended the claims as suggested by the Examiner. The STT of claim 1 has been changed to the client device to maintain the consistency of the claim as suggested by the Examiner. The set top terminal in claims 12 and 15 has been changed to a set top terminal for proper antecedent basis. Thus, claims 1-6, 12-14 and 15 are now in condition for allowance.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner finds that claims 1-6, 12-14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. ¶112. Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter with respect to these claims.

Serial No. 10/022,650

Page 9 of 9

As explained above, claims 1, 12 and 15 have been amended as suggested by the Examiner to overcome the 112, ¶2 rejection, and claims 2-6 and 13-14 depend either from claim 1 or claim 12. Thus, claims 1-6, 12-14 and 15 are allowable as currently amended.

ALLOWED CLAIMS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the allowance of claims 7-11 and 16.

CONCLUSION

Thus, Applicants submit that all of the claims presently in the application are allowable. Accordingly, both reconsideration of this application and its swift passage to issue are earnestly solicited.

If, however, the Examiner believes that there are any unresolved issues requiring adverse final action in any of the claims now pending in the application, it is requested that the Examiner telephone Eamon J. Wall or Jasper Kwoh at (732) 530-9404 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 5/2/06



Eamon J. Wall
Registration No. 39,414
Attorney for Applicants

PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP
595 Shrewsbury Avenue, Suite 100
Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702
Telephone: 732-530-9404
Facsimile: 732-530-9808

446724-1